

POMPANO BEACH COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Meeting Date: March 17, 2015 Agenda Item 2

REQUESTED CRA BOARD ACTION:

Resolution(s) Consideration Approval Other

SHORT TITLE OR MOTION:	A RECOMMENDATION TO APPROVE THE RANKINGS OF THE RESPONDERS TO THE REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS RFQ PB-CRA-05-15 FOR CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY PROJECTS AND AUTHORIZATION TO BEGIN CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS.

Summary of Purpose and Why:


An evaluation team was assembled to rank submittals in response to RFQ PB-CRA-05-15 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY (See Exhibit "A"). Pursuant to "REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS, SECTION IV – SELECTION / EVALUATION PROCESS," the evaluation team and CRA Staff reviewed seven (7) proposals and ranked them. Staff recommends approval of the rankings and seeks CRA Board authorization to enter into a contract with the top three (3) firms.

QUESTIONS TO BE ANSWERED BY ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT:

- (1) Origin of request for this action: Staff
- (2) Primary staff contact: Horacio Danovich Ext. 7834
- (3) Expiration of contract, if applicable: _____
- (4) Fiscal impact and source of funding: None at this time.

DEPARTMENTAL COORDINATION	DATE	DEPARTMENTAL RECOMMENDATION	AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE OR ATTACHED MEMO NUMBER
City Attorney	_____	_____	_____

- CRA Executive Director
- Finance Director



ACTION PREVIOUSLY TAKEN BY CRA BOARD: No previous action

<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Consideration</u>	<u>Other:</u>
Results: _____	Results: _____	Results: _____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

CRA

POMPANO BEACH


MEMORANDUM

March 10, 2015

TO: CRA Board

THRU: Kim Briesemeister, CRA Executive Director
Chris Brown, CRA Executive Director

FROM: Horacio Danovich, CIP Engineer



Issue

A team was assembled to evaluate submittals in response to a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY (RFQ PB-CRA-05-15) (See Exhibit "A").

The Community Redevelopment Agency ("CRA") is seeking CRA Board approval of the ranking compiled by the team based on the reviews of the various packages submitted by the applicants. Upon receiving CRA Board approval, the CRA will begin negotiating contracts to provide services as described in the RFQs.

Recommendation

The CRA staff recommends approval of the ranking and authorization to prepare contracts and negotiate prices with the top three (3) firms.

Background

To secure the services of qualified construction professionals to assist, the CRA issued a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to provide continuing services from engineering professionals. A bid notice was placed in the Sun-Sentinel and on the CRA's website. A total of five (5) responses were received.

To continue with implementation of the Strategic Finance Plan, CRA staff recommends approval to prepare contracts and subsequently negotiate pricing for specific tasks with the top three (3) ranked firms. Upon successful resolution of the pricing issues, staff will seek approval from the Board to enter into contracts with the top three ranked firms, as well as any future work authorizations that exceed \$25,000.00 in cost.

To evaluate submittals, a review criteria was prepared whereas each one of the reviewers had the opportunity to consider Applicants' prior experience with similar type services, qualifications of their personnel and their availability to service the CRA, whether the firm and/or subconsultants were certified minority enterprises, and the Applicants' experience dealing with government agencies.



The team responsible to evaluate the packages for RFQ PB-CRA-05-15 was comprised of Adriane Esteban (Project Manager), Victoria Vitale (Project Manager), Nguyen Tran (Director NWCRA), Juan Ayala (Program Manager), and Horacio Danovich (CRA and CIP Engineer).

The firms that responded to the RFQ, rankings, and scores, as compiled by the respective team, are as follows. The highlighted firms, followed by ranking and scores, denote the top score(s) for the RFQ, and therefore recommended for subsequent negotiations:

Continuing Contract for Engineering Services for Various Community Redevelopment Agency projects RFQ PB-CRA-02-15

Bermello Ajamil & Partners (#1, 439.5 points)
EDSA (#2, 431.5 points)
TBG Partners (#3, 415 points)
Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc. (#4, 400.5 points)
IBI Group (Florida), Inc. (#5, 367 points)
Wantman Group, Inc. (#6, 360.5 points)
Miller Legg (#7, 345.5 points)

Attached for your review and further consideration are the following documents:

Exhibit “B” – Selection Committee Memo.
Exhibit “C” – Conflict of Interest Forms.
Exhibit “D” – Evaluation Committee Scoring Sheets.
Table S-1 – Review Committee Scores

Staff recommends approval of the rankings.



Florida's Warmest Welcome

**CITY OF POMPANO BEACH
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
PB- CRA-05-15**

**CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE
ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN
SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY PROJECTS**

**RFQ OPENING: February 27, 2015 2:00 P.M.
AT THE OFFICE OF THE COMMUNITY
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
100 W. ATLANTIC BOULEVARD, ROOM 276
POMPANO BEACH, FLORIDA 33060**

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (“RFQ”)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section I – RFQ Schedule	Page 2
Section II – Introduction	Page 3
Section III - Scope of Work	Page 7
Section IV - Evaluation / Selection Process	Page 8
Section V - Submittal Format	Page 10
Project Team Form	Page 12
Attachments	Page 13

Proposers shall submit written sealed responses (“Proposals”) to this RFQ to the Pompano Beach Community Redevelopment Agency (“CRA”) no later than the date, time and at the location indicated on Page 11 of this RFQ. Submittal of Proposals by fax is not acceptable. Proposals shall be submitted as ONE (1) original and FIVE (5) copies.

SECTION I - RFQ SCHEDULE

Release of RFQ	2/1//2015
Last day for questions	2/20/2015
<u>PROPOSALS DUE PRIOR TO 2:00 PM)</u>	<u>2/27/2015</u>
Proposals reviewed, shortlisted and selected by Evaluation Committee	3/9/2015
CRA Board approval to negotiate with first-ranked Proposer	03/17/2015

The CRA reserves the right to issue multiple awards under this RFQ and revise foregoing selection and negotiation schedule. Negotiations with the first ranked Proposer shall commence after formal approval/directive by the CRA Board. If the CRA is unable to negotiate a contract with the first ranked Proposer, at a price the CRA determines to be fair, competitive and reasonable, negotiations with that Proposer shall be formally terminated. The CRA shall then undertake negotiations with the second-ranked Proposer. If those negotiations fail, the CRA shall undertake negotiations with the third-ranked Proposer. **All ranked Proposers shall be required to complete E-Plan training provided by the City of Pompano Beach’s Building Department.**

SECTION II - INTRODUCTION

1. GENERAL

The Pompano Beach Community Redevelopment Agency (“CRA”) has identified major redevelopment projects and programs within its boundaries in an effort to promote private sector investment, economic growth and activity therein. The intent of this Request for Qualifications (“RFQ”) is to select one or more firms with whom to negotiate a continuing contract for landscape architecture and urban design services for known or subsequently identified redevelopment activities (the “Project”) in accordance with the Consultants Competitive Negotiations Act set forth in Chapter 287.055, Florida Statutes.

2. ELIGIBILITY

Proposers are required to have an office physically located within the tri-county area of Miami-Dade, Broward or Palm Beach County to ensure they can be readily available for required meetings, discussions and tours. This office must be an active facility from which consultant services are routinely provided and not simply the office of a representative agent, a post office box or other type of mail drop facility. The CRA reserves the right to inspect Proposer’s designated office to insure compliance with this requirement.

3. SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM

The CRA has established a voluntary Small Business Enterprise (“SBE”) Program to encourage and foster participation of small businesses in its procurement of goods and services. In accordance with Chapter 288.703(1), Florida Statutes, a SBE is any Florida-based business entity that has a Small Business Administration 8(a) Certification or is independently owned and operated with 200 or less permanent full-time employees that, together with its affiliates, has a net worth that does not exceed \$5 million. With regard to sole proprietorships, the \$5 million net worth requirement shall include both personal and business investments.

The CRA has set a five percent (5%) voluntary SBE Small Business Enterprise (“SBE”) goal for this Project. SBE Forms are included in this solicitation package and Proposers **must return** a response of participation or non-participation in order to be considered. Appropriate responses are the SBE Participation Form (Attachment “A”) and the Letter of Intent Form (Attachment “B”). Proposers should utilize SBEs certified by the State of Florida, Broward County and/or other local government jurisdictions with similar certification criteria. Firms who are unable to meet the recommended voluntary goals should instead provide the SBE Unavailability Form and Good Faith Effort Report (Attachments “C” and “D”).

Proposers are encouraged to undertake good faith efforts to identify appropriate Small Business Enterprise partners. Sources of information on certified SBEs include the Broward County Small Business Development Division and the State of Florida Office of Supplier Diversity. Links to these organizations can be accessed from the City’s website at www.mypompanobeach.org.

4. CONTRACT AWARD

The initial contract term is for one (1) year and is expected to begin on or about March 1, 2015. The CRA reserves the right to issue one-year contracts to more than one Proposer and to also extend the contract(s) for four (4) additional one year terms providing both parties agree to the extension; all terms, conditions and specifications remain the same; and such extension is approved by the CRA Board.

5. INSURANCE

The insurance requirements for the Project are summarized below. While it is not necessary for Proposers to have this coverage in effect at the time of submittal, certificates indicating that the Proposer already possesses the requisite coverage or a letter from the Carrier indicating upgrade ability will speed the evaluation process. The successful Proposer shall not commence operations, construction and/or installation of improvements until certification or proof of insurance detailing terms and provisions of coverage has been received and approved by the City's Risk Manager.

5.1 Worker's Compensation Insurance covering all employees and providing benefits as required by Chapter 440, Florida Statute, regardless of the size of the company (number of employees). The Contractor further agrees to be responsible for the employment, control and conduct of its employees and for any injury sustained by such employees in the course of their employment.

5.2 Liability Insurance

- a) Naming the CRA as an additional insured on the General Liability Insurance policy only for work performed under this contract.
- b) Such General Liability insurance shall include the checked types of insurance and minimum policy limits indicated on the checklist that follows on Page 5 herein.

5.3 Real & Personal Property Insurance

The Contractor is responsible for any loss or damage to tools, equipment, supplies and temporary structures (e.g. cofferdams, retaining walls, etc.) at the job site and for any loss or damage to buildings being constructed until that building is completed and a certificate of occupancy is issued.

LIMITS OF LIABILITY

Type of Insurance		Each occurrence	Aggregate
GENERAL LIABILITY			
XX comprehensive form			
XX premises - operations	bodily injury	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000
XX explosion & collapse hazard	property damage	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000
XX underground hazard			
XX products/completed operations hazard	bodily injury and property damage combined	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000
XX contractual insurance			
XX broad form property damage			
XX independent contractors			
XX personal injury	personal injury	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>			
AUTOMOBILE LIABILITY			
	bodily injury (each person)	\$1,000,000	
XX comprehensive form	bodily injury (each accident)	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
XX owned	property damage	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
XX hired	bodily injury and property damage combined	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
XX non-owned			
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>			
REAL & PERSONAL PROPERTY			
XX comprehensive form		Proposers must show proof of this coverage	
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>			
EXCESS LIABILITY			
XX umbrella form	bodily injury and property damage combined	\$2,000,000	\$2,000,000
XX other than umbrella			
<hr style="border-top: 1px dashed black;"/>			
PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY		\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000
XX (Pollution/Environmental Coverage)			

The certification or proof of insurance must contain a provision for written notification to the CRA thirty (30) days in advance of any material change in coverage or cancellation.

The successful Proposer shall furnish the certification or proof of insurance required above within ten (10) days after notification of award of contract.

6. GOVERNING LAW

Proposers must agree that any contracts awarded under this RFQ shall be governed by the laws of the State of Florida, both as to interpretation and performance; that they submit to the jurisdiction of state and federal courts in Florida; that the proper venue for any suit at law or in equity hereunder shall be in a court of competent jurisdiction in Broward County, Florida; and that such dispute shall be heard by a judge, not a jury.

7. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

For purposes of determining any possible conflict of interest, each Proposer must disclose if any City or CRA employee is also an owner, corporate officer, or an employee of its business. If any City or CRA employee is also an owner, corporate officer, or an employee, the Proposer must file a statement with the Broward County Supervisor of Elections pursuant to Chapter 112.313, Florida Statutes.

8. LOBBYING PROHIBITED

Proposers shall not lobby any City or CRA officials, officers or personnel related or involved with this RFQ. All oral and written inquiries shall be directed to the Procurement Contact referenced in Paragraph 11 of this Section. Any violation of this condition may result in rejection and/or disqualification of the Proposal.

9. DRUG FREE WORKPLACE

Selected Proposers shall be required to verify they operate a “Drug Free Workplace” as outlined in Chapter 287.087, Florida Statutes.

10. PUBLIC ENTITY CRIMES

Following a conviction for a public entity crime and in accordance with Chapter 287, Florida Statutes, a person or affiliate who has been placed on the Convicted Vendor List maintained by the State of Florida’s Department of General Services, may not submit a proposal on a contract to provide any goods or services to a public entity; may not submit a proposal on a contract with a public entity for the construction or repair of a public building or public work; may not submit a proposal to lease real property to a public entity; may not be awarded or perform work as a contractor, supplier, subcontractor, or consultant under a contract with any public entity; and may not transact business with any public entity in excess of the threshold amount of \$35,000.00 for CATEGORY TWO purchasing categories as defined therein for a period of 36 months from the date of being placed on the Convicted Vendor List.

11. CONTACT INFORMATION

For additional information regarding this RFQ, please contact Horacio Danovich, Engineer for the CRA, at (954) 786-7834 or horacio.danovich@copbfl.com.

SECTION III- SCOPE OF WORK

1. SERVICES

Work to be accomplished under this contract will include, but not be limited to, various, but not as yet identified, landscape architectural projects in which construction costs do not exceed \$2,000,000; and architectural study activity when the fee for such professional service does not exceed \$200,000 as mandated by Florida Statutes.

- A. Master planning and redevelopment planning
- B. Landscape plans
- C. Pedestrian oriented urban design
- D. Open space, park and recreational, parks, urban and environmental planning
- E. Conceptual and schematic design
- F. Project design including street elements
- G. Preparation of construction documents and related services
- H. Provide permitting assistance
- I. Provide bidding assistance
- J. Construction related services
- K. Redevelopment planning and assistance
- L. Preparation of presentation materials
- M. Preparation of renderings and general visual aids
- N. Project scope development
- O. Prepare urban design guidelines
- P. Programming, estimating, scheduling and cost estimates
- Q. Preparation of plans and specifications for site layout and circulation, hardscape design, aesthetic grading, construction detailing, planting design and details, and soft scope design elements.
- R. Lighting and irrigation design and plans
- S. Identify funding sources and assist with applications
- T. Implementation strategies
- U. Community participation and input

All services performed must comply with applicable statutes, regulations, and guidelines as set forth all regulatory agencies having jurisdiction. Selected Proposers shall hold all applicable, valid local, state and federal licenses for the various tasks in compliance with all agencies having jurisdiction.

2. COMPOSITION OF PROJECT TEAM

Proposer will be required to commit that the personnel and/or principals named in the proposal shall remain assigned to the "project" throughout the period of the contract unless provided for otherwise in a negotiated contract. No diversion or substitution of personnel or principals will be allowed without submission of a written request with the qualifications and experience of the proposed replacement. The approval of the CRA will be required for any such diversion or substitution.

3. LICENSE

Firms must have previous municipal experience and must be licensed to practice Landscaping Architecture in the State of Florida, Florida State Statute 481, by the Board of Professional Regulation. Other industry standard professional licenses and/or certifications may be required.

SECTION IV - SELECTION/EVALUATION PROCESS

An Evaluation Committee ("Committee") shall be responsible for selecting the most qualified Proposer(s) and presenting their findings to the CRA Board who, upon formal approval, shall direct CRA staff to negotiate a contract with the most qualified Proposer(s).

The Committee will rank responses based upon the following criteria:

- | | | |
|----|---|-------------|
| A. | Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:
a. Number of similar projects
b. Complexity of similar projects
c. References from past projects
d. Technical approach | 0-30 points |
| B. | Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:
a. Number of technical staff
b. Qualifications of technical staff:
(1) Number of licensed staff
(2) Education of staff
(3) Experience of staff | 0-20 points |
| C. | Availability of personnel:
a. Current work load/staff participation
b. Organization of the team | 0-15 points |
| D. | Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? | 0-5 points |
| E. | Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies | 0-30 points |

Total 100 points

The Committee will have the option to use the above criteria for the initial ranking to shortlist Proposers and to use an ordinal ranking system to finalize the shortlisted Proposers with a score of “1” assigned to the shortlisted Proposer(s) deemed most qualified by the Committee.

Each Proposer shall submit documents that provide evidence of capability to provide the services required for the Committee’s review for shortlisting purposes. The shortlisted firms may be contacted to provide public presentations regarding their qualifications and ability to furnish the required services. When more than three Proposals are received, the Committee shall submit to the CRA Board for approval, a listing, in ranked order, of no fewer than three firms deemed to be the most highly qualified to perform the landscape and urban architecture design services.

The CRA Board reserves the right to approve the recommendation; reject the recommendation and direct staff to re-advertise the solicitation; review Proposals and/or request oral presentations to determine a ranking order that may be the same or different from that of the Committee, and take other such action as it deems appropriate based on the circumstances.

SECTION V - SUBMITTAL FORMAT

Proposals should be limited to the following and identified with the corresponding letter under this Section:

- A. Letter of Interest. In your letter, include the complete corporate name of the primary firm responding, address, telephone, fax number, and name of the person who the CRA should contact regarding your Proposal.
- B. Statement of Skills and Experience of Project Team. Describe the experience of the firm or project team as it relates to the types of projects listed in the Scope of Services Section herein. Describe any experience in redevelopment districts in an urban environment and include the experience of the prime consultants as well as other members of the team; i.e., additional personnel, sub-consultants, branch office, team members, and other resources anticipated to be utilized for this Project. Name specific projects (successfully completed within the past five years) where the team members have previously performed similar projects.
- C. Location of office(s) of the prime and/or sub consultants that may be utilized to support any or all of the professional services listed above and the number of professional and administrative staff at the prime office location. Provide an organizational structure of the team assigned to this project and availability of team members including subconsultants and their assigned staff members. If firms are situated outside the local area of Broward, Palm Beach, and Miami Dade counties, includes a brief statement as to whether or not the firm will arrange for a local office during the term of the contract.

- D. Proposers shall submit their technical approach to providing landscape architecture and design services in an urban environment or a redevelopment district including how their firm proposes to maintain time schedules and cost control.
- E. Specify previous or current projects Proposer completed for the City or CRA and the total value of work awarded to facilitate an equitable distribution among qualified Proposers provided such distribution does not violate selection of the most highly qualified firm.
- F. Completed SBE Program Forms attached as Exhibits A-D. On Attachment A, list all participating SBE or M/W/DBE firms, including the prime consultant if applicable. Include copies of all SBE and/or M/W/DBE certifications for the prime and sub consultants. State the percentage of work to be performed by each firm listed on Attachment A. It is the CRA's intent to encourage minority and women owned firms to participate in the process. The method by which this is accomplished should be developed and presented by the Proposer(s) in their submissions.
- G. Resumes of key personnel.
- H. References for completed municipal projects in the South Florida area emphasizing those in redevelopment districts or tied to redevelopment plans. Identify the contact person that was actively involved in project oversight from the public sector.
- I. Completed "Project Team" form.

Interested Proposers should submit one (1) original and five (5) copies of all materials which indicate interest and qualifications. Please identify the original as "original."

Submittal packages should be marked on the exterior RFQ CRA-03-15 Continuing Contract For Landscape Architecture, Planning and Design Services For Various Community Redevelopment Agency Projects, and addressed to City of Pompano Beach CRA 100 W. Atlantic Blvd., Room 276, Pompano Beach, Florida 33060.

SUBMITTALS MUST BE RECEIVED NO LATER THAN THE DATE SPECIFIED IN THE RFQ SCHEDULE IN SECTION I ABOVE.

PROJECT TEAM FORM

RFQ NUMBER _____

Federal I.D.# _____

Is Prime Consultant a
Certified SBE firm YES__ NO__

PRIME

Role	Name of Individual Assigned to Project	Number of Years Experience	Education, Degrees
Principle-In-Charge	_____	_____	_____
Project Manager	_____	_____	_____
Asst. Project Manager	_____	_____	_____
Other Key Member	_____	_____	_____
Other Key Member	_____	_____	_____

SUB-CONSULTANT

(if any)

Role	Company Name and Address of Office Handling This Project	Name of Individual Assigned to the Project
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____
	_____	_____

ATTACHMENT "A"
CITY OF POMPANO BEACH, FLORIDA
SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE
PARTICIPATION FORM

RFQ Number & Title: _____

Contractor's Name: _____

<u>Name of Firm</u>	<u>Contact Person, Telephone Number</u>	<u>Type of Work to be Performed</u>	<u>Contract Amount/Percentage</u>

FOR CITY USE ONLY

Total SBE Contract Participation _____

Are documents requested submitted accordingly YES NO

ATTACHMENT "B"
LETTER OF INTENT TO PERFORM AS A SUBCONTRACTOR

RFQ # _____

TO: _____
(Name of Prime or General Contractor)

The undersigned intends to perform subcontracting work in connection with the above contract as (check below)

_____ an individual

_____ a corporation

_____ a partnership

_____ a joint venture

The undersigned is prepared to perform the following work in connection with the above Contract, as hereafter described in detail:

(Date)

(Name of SBE Contractor)

BY: _____

ATTACHMENT "C"
SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE (SBE)
UNAVAILABILITY FORM

RFQ # _____

I, _____
(Name and Title)

of _____, certify that on the _____ day of _____, _____, I invited the following SBE CONTRACTOR(s) to bid work items to be performed in the City of Pompano Beach:

SBE Contractor Address	Work Items Sought	Form of Bid Sought (i.e., Unit Price, Materials/Labor, Labor Only, etc.)
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Said SBE CONTRACTOR(s):

- _____ Did not bid in response to the invitation
- _____ Submitted a bid that was not the low responsible bid
- _____ Other: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Note: Attach additional documents as available.

ATTACHMENT "D"
GOOD FAITH EFFORT REPORT

RFQ # _____

1. What portions of the contract have you identified as SBE opportunities?

2. Did you provide adequate information to identified SBE? Please comment on how you provided this information.

3. Did you send written notices to SBEs?

Yes No

If yes, please include copy of the notice and the list of individuals who were forwarded copies of the notices.

4. Did you advertise in local publications?

Yes No

If yes, please attach copies of the ads, including name and dates of publication.

5. Did you contact any organizations with large constituents of SBE members for possible sub-contractors? Please attach list of resource organizations used.

6. What type of efforts did you make to assist SBEs in contracting with you?

7. List the SBEs you will utilize and subcontract amount/percentage.

8. Other comments: _____

Note: Please attach the unavailability letters with this report.

**CITY OF POMPANO BEACH
COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
INTER-OFFICE MEMORANDUM**

TO: Nguyen Tran, NWCRA Director
Adriane Esteban, Project Manager
Juan Ayala, Project Manager

FROM: Horacio Danovich, CIP Manager

DATE: March 9, 2015

RE: Selection Committee meeting for CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

Thank you for your participation as Selection Committee members for the review and ranking of proposals for the RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15, for CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY. The meeting date, location and time was sent via an outlook meeting invite.

As a Selection Committee member, it will be your responsibility to read and review all proposals for discussion and evaluation at meetings. I will send an electronic version of the RFQ, which will provide the scope of work and the proposal evaluation and criteria, which are in Section IV of the RFQ.

Seven (7) proposals were received as follows:

List of proposals received

Firm Name

Calvin, Giordano & Associates, Inc.
IBI Group (Florida), Inc.
TBG Partners
Wantman Group, Inc.
EDSA
Bermello Ajamil & Partners
Miller Legg

Also, I am sending via email, the Conflict of Interest Form that you can complete and return to me by the first committee review meeting.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

For the purposes of determining any possible conflict of interest, all voting members of the Selection/Evaluation Committee for Request for Qualifications RFQ PB-CRA-05-15 for CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY must disclose if they have an interest in any of the firms responding to the above solicitation as an owner, Corporate Officer, employee of the business or consultant to the firm. Indicate either "yes" (this City employee is associated with the firm(s) indicated and in what capacity) or "no".

If yes, give firm(s) name(s) and position(s) held with the firm(s).

YES _____

NO _____

If "Yes", Firm name and position held: _____

Adriana Esteban
SIGNATURE OF COMMITTEE MEMBER

DATE: 3/1/15

Adriana Esteban
(Printed Name)

(Note: if answer is "yes", you must file a statement with the Supervisor of Elections, pursuant to Florida State Statutes 112.313)

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT


For the purposes of determining any possible conflict of interest, all voting members of the Selection/Evaluation Committee for Request for Qualifications RFQ PB-CRA-05-15 for CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY must disclose if they have an interest in any of the firms responding to the above solicitation as an owner, Corporate Officer, employee of the business or consultant to the firm. Indicate either "yes" (this City employee is associated with the firm(s) indicated and in what capacity) or "no".

If yes, give firm(s) name(s) and position(s) held with the firm(s).

YES _____

NO X _____

If "Yes", Firm name and position held: _____



SIGNATURE OF COMMITTEE MEMBER

DATE: 3/9/2015

Juan C. Ayala

(Printed Name)

(Note: if answer is "yes", you must file a statement with the Supervisor of Elections, pursuant to Florida State Statutes 112.313)

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

For the purposes of determining any possible conflict of interest, all voting members of the Selection/Evaluation Committee for Request for Qualifications RFQ PB-CRA-05-15 for CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY must disclose if they have an interest in any of the firms responding to the above solicitation as an owner, Corporate Officer, employee of the business or consultant to the firm. Indicate either "yes" (this City employee is associated with the firm(s) indicated and in what capacity) or "no".

If yes, give firm(s) name(s) and position(s) held with the firm(s).

YES _____

NO

If "Yes", Firm name and position held: _____

SIGNATURE OF COMMITTEE MEMBER

DATE: 3/8/15

NGUYEN TRAM
(Printed Name)

(Note: if answer is "yes", you must file a statement with the Supervisor of Elections, pursuant to Florida State Statutes 112.313)

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

For the purposes of determining any possible conflict of interest, all voting members of the Selection/Evaluation Committee for Request for Qualifications RFQ PB-CRA-05-15 for CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY must disclose if they have an interest in any of the firms responding to the above solicitation as an owner, Corporate Officer, employee of the business or consultant to the firm. Indicate either "yes" (this City employee is associated with the firm(s) indicated and in what capacity) or "no".

If yes, give firm(s) name(s) and position(s) held with the firm(s).

YES _____

NO _____

If "Yes", Firm name and position held: _____


SIGNATURE OF COMMITTEE MEMBER

DATE: 3/9/15

VICTORIA VITALE
(Printed Name)

(Note: if answer is "yes", you must file a statement with the Supervisor of Elections, pursuant to Florida State Statutes 112.313)

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

For the purposes of determining any possible conflict of interest, all voting members of the Selection/Evaluation Committee for Request for Qualifications RFQ PB-CRA-05-15 for CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY must disclose if they have an interest in any of the firms responding to the above solicitation as an owner, Corporate Officer, employee of the business or consultant to the firm. Indicate either "yes" (this City employee is associated with the firm(s) indicated and in what capacity) or "no".

If yes, give firm(s) name(s) and position(s) held with the firm(s).


YES _____

NO _____

If "Yes", Firm name and position held: _____

SIGNATURE OF COMMITTEE MEMBER

DATE: _____


(Printed Name)

(Note: if answer is "yes", you must file a statement with the Supervisor of Elections, pursuant to Florida State Statutes 112.313)

EVALUATION CRITERIA Exhibit "D"
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE,
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCIES
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: BA A

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		30
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	20
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	13
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	1.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	30
	Total 100 points	<u>94.5</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Urban street scape + Parks project, previous
communication with this firm great

 Signature of Evaluator Date 3/9/15 Printed Name Adriana Esteban

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: EDSA

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		30
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	20
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	13
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	30
	Total 100 points	<u>93.5</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Great work for CRA so far

Adrienne Esteban
 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

Adrienne Esteban
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: Calvin Giordano

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		20
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	18
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	13
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	20.3
	Total 100 points	<u>74.5</u>

36
18
54

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Parks, Drainage, Roundabouts, traffic studies

Urban Design not as strong

M. Gut
 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

Adriana Esteban
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15


VENDOR NAME: BI

	0-30 points	Score
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		20
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	15
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	13
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	2
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	20
	Total 100 points	70

55
15
-9

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Liason, Public Art


 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

Adriane Esteban
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15


VENDOR NAME: WGI

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		15
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	15
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	13
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	20
	Total 100 points	<u>63.5</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Parks, schools, etc. large greenspaces not as urban except sub.

Great submittal design


 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

Adriane Esteban
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: MILLER LEGG

<p>A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a. Number of similar projects</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">b. Complexity of similar projects</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">c. References from past projects</p>	0-30 points	<u>Score</u> 18	
<p>B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a. Number of technical staff</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">(2) Education of staff</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">(3) Experience of staff</p>	0-20 points	15	33 13 <hr/> 46
<p>C. Availability of personnel:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a. Current work load/staff participation</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">b. Organization of the team</p>	0-15 points	13	
<p>D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?</p>	0-5 points	0.5	
<p>E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies</p>	0-30 points	20	
	Total 100 points	<hr/> 66.5	

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Infrastructure projects (stormwater, medians, etc.)

<u>John Cost</u>	<u>3/9/15</u>	<u>Adriane Esforz</u>
Signature of Evaluator	Date	Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: Fermello Ajamil & Partners

		<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:	0-30 points	
a. Number of similar projects		24
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		16
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		9
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	
		1.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	
		25
	Total 100 points	
		75.5


List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

GOOD URBAN DESIGN EXPERIENCE & TECHNICAL APPROACH

HAS A GREAT ARCHITECTURAL BACKGROUND/SUPPORT

LOW PERCENTAGE OF AVAILABILITY ON L&E STAFF

MULTIDISCIPLINARY CO. → RELIABLE

 3/9/15 JUAN C. AYALA
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: EDSA

		<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:	0-30 points	
a. Number of similar projects		21
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		16
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		10
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	
		25
		Total 100 points
		72.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

VERY GOOD DESIGN & EXPERIENCE

GOOD TECHNICAL APPROACH

PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE W/ POMYANO CRA

[Signature]
 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

John C Ayden
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: TBG PARTNERS

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		23
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		17
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		9
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	
		1
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	
		25
	Total 100 points	
		75

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

ASSOCIATED PARTNERS W/ GOOD EXPERIENCE

NO WORK LOAD SHOWN FOR STAFF

GOOD URBAN DESIGN → LANDSCAPE ARCH. PROJECTS - OUTSIDE FLORIDA.

[Signature]
 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

John C. Ayala
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: WANTMAN GROUP I

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		30
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		20
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		14
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	
		0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	
		25
	Total 100 points	
		89.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

GREAT PRESENTATION & SUBMITTAL

GOOD PLANNING DESIGN EXPERIENCE

GOOD TECHNICAL APPROACH

NOT MANY WORKS W/ CRA'S.

[Signature]
 Signature of Evaluator

3/19/15
 Date

Walter C. Ayala
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: MILLER LEGG.

		Score
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:	0-30 points	
a. Number of similar projects		21
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		17
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		10
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	
		0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	
		20
	Total 100 points	
		68.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

NOT MANY PLANNING → URBAN DESIGN PROJECTS

MORE OF A CIVIL ENG. COMPANY.

 3/9/15 Juan C. Ayala
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: Bernellio & Ajamel

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		30
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	20
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	10
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	1.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	30
	Total 100 points	<u>91.5</u>

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Good design firm w/ plenty experienced staff.
Quality work

 3/9/15 NGUYEN TRAU
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: TBG Partners

	0-30 points	Score
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		25
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	20
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	15
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	1.0
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	30
	Total 100 points	91

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Small up coming firm - Design Related
Very good Team experience & Qualifications


 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

NOUYEN TAN
 Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: Calvin Giordano

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas: a. Number of similar projects b. Complexity of similar projects c. References from past projects	0-30 points	25
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants: a. Number of technical staff (2) Education of staff (3) Experience of staff	0-20 points	15
C. Availability of personnel: a. Current work load/staff participation b. Organization of the team	0-15 points	10
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	30
	Total 100 points	80.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Highly experienced firm


 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

NGUYEN TRAN
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: IBI GROUP

	0-30 points	Score
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		20
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	10
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	10
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	2.0
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	20
	Total 100 points	62.0

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

More Engineering type work than Landscaping
Very hard to follow information w/ submittal

 3/9/15 NGUYEN TRAN
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: WGI

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		25
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		8
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		5
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	20
	Total 100 points	58.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Keith & Schmas is the main Landscape Consultant
Very large firm w/ lots of experience.
No CRA project experience. Principal only had
5% Availability.


 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

DOUYEN TRAN
 Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: BERMELLO AJAMIL & PARTNERS

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		28
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		18
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		11
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	1.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	27
	Total 100 points	85.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

BA & PARTNERS HAVE WORKED ON MANY PROJECTS WITHIN
POMPANO BEACH. THEY ARE WELL VERSED IN
CRA'S AND THEY SEEM TO BE EASILY AVAILABLE
WHEN NECESSARY. VERY EASY TO GET PROJECTS LIST WAS
PERFECT.

 3/9/15 VICTORIA VITALE
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: EDSA

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:	0-30 points	27.5
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	19
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	14
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	28
	Total 100 points	88.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

EDSA HAS AN EXTENSIVE HISTORY OF PROJECTS AND KNOWLEDGE OF CRA'S AND CITY ENTITIES. THEY HAVE THE SKILLS, CLOSE PROXIMITY AND AVAILABILITY TO IMPLEMENT PROJECTS WITHIN POMPANO.


 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

VICTORIA VITALE
 Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: TBG PARTNERS

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		26
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		18
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		12
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	1
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	
	Total 100 points	26
		83

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

ALL PARTIES ARE WELL AQUAINTED WITH POMPANO BEACH PROJECTS. OFFICE LOCATIONS ARE WITHIN RELEVANT DISTANCE OF PROJECTS AND READILY AVAILABLE.


 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

VICTORIA VITALE
 Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: CALVIN, GIORDANO & ASSOCIATES, INC.

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		24
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		15
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		10
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	25
	Total 100 points	14.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

CALVIN, GIORDANO & ASSOCIATES ARE LOCATED IN MIAMI
MAKING THEM LESS DESIRABLE FOR THE SAKE OF
AVAILABILITY FOR POMPANO BEACH'S CONTINUING
CONTRACT.

 3/9/15 VICTORIA VITALE
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: IBI GROUP (FLORIDA), INC.

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		22
a. Number of similar projects		
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	17
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	13
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	2
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	23
	Total 100 points	77

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

IBI SEEMS TO HAVE EXPERIENCE IN THE CONTINUING
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, BUT THEY ARE MORE
ENGINEERING BASED. MOST OF THE PROJECTS ARE


3/1/15
VICTORIA VITALE
 Signature of Evaluator Date Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: WGI

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		22
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		16
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		8
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	25
	Total 100 points	(71.5)

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

WGI HAS EXPERIENCE IN SEVERAL CITIES. THEY ARE NOT AS WELL AQUAINTED WITH POMPANO BEACH AS OTHERS & THEY DONT APPEAR TO BE QUITE AS AVAIL-
 ABLE AS NEEDED. AWESOME PRESENTATION


 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

VICTORIA VITALE
 Printed Name


EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: MILLER LEGG

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		20
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		18
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		12
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	
	Total 100 points	23
		73.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

MILLER LEGG SEEMS TO HAVE A GREAT AMOUNT OF EXPERIENCE
W/ CRA'S, THE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND SIMILAR PROJECTS
THAT POMPANO BEACH HAS, BUT IT IS MOSTLY INFRASTRUCTURE
AND TRAFFIC STUDIES.


 Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
 Date

VICTORIA VITALE
 Printed Name

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY**

RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: BEANELLO AJAMIL

		Score
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:	0-30 points	
a. Number of similar projects		30
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		20
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		12
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (1.5 points) (none for prime 1.5 for subs)	0-5 points	1.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	30
	Total 100 points	92.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Plenty of experience providing similar services to the City, LRA and many other municipalities. Lots of projects of similar complexity. A very good team with local representation. Excellent technical approach, very detailed. A very well rounded team. Availability is fine.

[Signature]
Signature of Evaluator

3/9/15
Date

Horacio Randoval
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: EDSA

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		30
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	18
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	8
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
(0.5 points) (none for prime, 0.5 for sub)		
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	30
	Total 100 points	86.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

Firm has excellent experience and is very knowledgeable
of Pompano Beach. Having provided similar services on a
number of recent projects. Technical approach is
excellent, very detailed. Principal (Paul Kingery) is a
sub professional. The rest of the team is good.
Availability not provided but firm has previously accommodated
city/CRA needs.

Signature of Evaluator: [Signature] Date: 3/9/15 Printed Name: Norsio Sanchez

**EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15**

VENDOR NAME: TBC

	0-30 points	Score
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		28
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	19
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	8
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (1 point) (NONE FOR PRIME, 1 FOR 2 subs)	0-5 points	1
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	30
	Total 100 points	86

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

EXCELLENT SAMPLE PROJECTS SHOWING EVIDENCE OF GREAT
QUALITY WORK. LOCAL PRESENCE AND KNOWLEDGE
WITH TWO SUB THAT ARE FAMILIAR WITH POMPANO BEACH.
TECHNICAL APPROACH IS VERY GOOD. TEAM HAS PLENTY OF
EXPERIENCE WITH MUNICIPAL WORK AND C.R.A. VERY
NICE ~~WORK~~ WORK OF 10 DIVISIONS ASSIGNED TO RFR.
QUALITY NOT CLEARLY DESCRIBED BUT TEAM MEMBER HAVE PERSONAL
IN THE PAST

Signature of Evaluator: [Signature] Date: 3/9/15 Printed Name: Horacio D. Sanchez


EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: CALVIN GIORDANO

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		30
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		20
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		12
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	0.5
(0.5 POINTS) (NONE FOR FIRM, 0.5 FOR SUB)		
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	30
	Total 100 points	92.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

THE FIRM HAS A WIDE ARRAY OF PERSONNEL AND CAPABILITIES.
THE COMPANY HAS SERVED MULTIPLE MUNICIPALITIES AND HAS
CONSIDERABLE EXPERIENCE WITH CLAs. MANY PROJECTS WITH
PLENTY OF DETAILS AND SIMILARITIES. VERY GOOD TECHNICAL
APPROACH. SOME EXPERIENCE IN POMPAÑO BEACH, BUT FIRM IS
VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE CITY RUNNING CODE ENFORCEMENT.
VERY WELL QUALIFIED TEAM ASSIGNED TO THIS RFP. AVAILABILITY IS GOOD.

Signature of Evaluator 

3/9/15
Date

HORASCO DANOVICH
Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: W E I

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		26
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	17
a. Number of technical staff		
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	11
a. Current work load/staff participation		
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985? (0.5 points) (NONE FOR PRIME, 0.5 FOR SUB)	0-5 points	0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	23
	Total 100 points	77.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

VERY GOOD EXPERIENCE DEALING WITH MUNICIPAL WORK
GOOD AVAILABILITY IF APPROPRIATE. TECHNICAL APPROACH PROVIDED
GREAT DETAILS. SUBS ARE FAMILIAR W/CRAS, NOT PRIME.
ASSIGNED PERSONNEL HAS GOOD CREDENTIALS AND HISTORY WITH
SIMILAR WORK.

Signature of Evaluator

9/9/15
 Date

Honorio Danovich
 Printed Name

EVALUATION CRITERIA
 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN
 DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 RFQ #PB-CRA-05-15

VENDOR NAME: Miller LLC

	0-30 points	<u>Score</u>
A. Prior experience with projects of similar size and complexity in an urban environment with an emphasis on community redevelopment areas:		
a. Number of similar projects		26
b. Complexity of similar projects		
c. References from past projects		
B. Qualifications of personnel including sub consultants:	0-20 points	
a. Number of technical staff		17
(2) Education of staff		
(3) Experience of staff		
C. Availability of personnel:	0-15 points	
a. Current work load/staff participation		9
b. Organization of the team		
D. Is the firm a certified minority business enterprise as defined by the City of Pompano Beach or the Florida Small and Minority Business Assistance Act of 1985?	0-5 points	
(0.5 points) (none for prime, 0.5 for sub)		0.5
E. Experience with government agencies, review boards and Community Redevelopment Agencies	0-30 points	
	Total 100 points	26 78.5

List the reasons for this evaluation (justify the rating/scoring):

PLENTHY OF EXPERIENCE DEALING WITH MUNICIPALITIES AND CRAs.
AVAILABILITY IS ADEQUATE. TECHNICAL APPROACH IS VERY GOOD.
PROVIDED A GOOD SET OF REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS.
STAFF ASSIGNED HAS GOOD CREDENTIALS

 Signature of Evaluator 3/9/15 Date HORACIO JARAMA Printed Name

TABLE S-1

RFQ PB-CRA-05-15 CONTINUING CONTRACT FOR LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, PLANNING AND URBAN DESIGN SERVICES FOR VARIOUS COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY PROJECTS

Review Committee Scores

Applicant	Adriane	Juan	Nguyen	Victoria	Horacio	Total Points	Average	Ranking
Bermello Ajamil and Partners	94.5	75.5	91.5	85.5	92.5	439.5	87.90	1
EDSA	93.5	72.5	90.5	88.5	86.5	431.5	86.30	2
TBG Partners	80	75	91	83	86	415	83.00	3
Calvin Giordano & Associates, Inc.	74.5	78.5	80.5	74.5	92.5	400.5	80.10	4
IBI Group, Inc.	70	71	62	77	87	367	73.40	5
Wantman Group, Inc.	63.5	89.5	58.5	71.5	77.5	360.5	72.10	6
Miller Legg	66.5	68.5	58.5	73.5	78.5	345.5	69.10	7