



Florida's Warmest Welcome  
July 23, 2013

---

**City of Pompano Beach, Purchasing Division  
1190 N.E. 3rd Avenue, Building C  
Pompano Beach, Florida, 33060**

**ADDENDUM #3, RFP H-45-13  
LICENSE PLATE RECOGNITION SYSTEM**

To Whom It May Concern,

- A. The deadline for receipt of sealed proposals has been extended until 2:00 p.m. (local), August 13, 2013 in the City's Purchasing Office, 1190 N.E. 3rd Avenue, Building C, Pompano Beach, Florida, 33060.
- B. Please review the following questions and City responses regarding the Request for Letters of Interest solicitation.

1. Question: (In Addendum #2 it was stated ... ) "All the poles in the RFP were recommended sites and heights from the consultant. Proposers must perform a site inspection to verify the poles at the sites." OK, But are we to assume the nearest pole of any kind to the location is the one to use?? OR are they marked in some manner? How do we know which existing pole/height/ect is the pole to use? I don't see anything marked in the RFP.

Response: The suggested pole locations and heights, are just approximations. The City will rely on the expertise of the vendor for the exact location of the cameras.

2. Question: Do we pull power from the nearest public source/pole?

Response: If the location is owned by the City, then yes it can be tapped. As for distance of electrical, the City will rely on the expertise of the vendor for the exact location of the cameras.

3. Question: Is it ok to contact the consulting firm to ask questions?

Response: No, all questions must be submitted to Purchasing.

4. Question: We need the actual address for the following locations: Community Park, Dog Park and Golf Course, Mitchell Moore Park.

Response: Community Park, 2001 N.E. 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Pompano Beach, Florida, 33060. Dog Park and Golf Course, 1101 North Federal Highway, Pompano Beach, Florida, 33060. Mitchell Moore Park, 901 N.W. 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Pompano Beach, Florida, 33060.

5. Question: We are aware that the City already has deployed an existing Motorola/Cambium wireless data microwave system. Can this existing system be used for the system in this RFP? If so, can we get a detailed drawing showing all the components and component locations and system architecture?

Response: No, the existing Cambium wireless system cannot be used for this project. That system is solely used for our Government Network.

6. Question: Regarding warranties on equipment quoted in this RFP, are any extended warranties or maintenance agreements to be quoted and if so, for how long (how many years)?

Response: A yearly warranty, that starts after any initial warranty expires, should be quoted.

7. Question: On Page 21. Work plan and project deadline compliance is worth 15 points. Do you have a desired project deadline?

Response: No.

8. Question: On Page 24 System shall operate at licensed 2.4-2.9 GHz and shall not interfere with the City's existing Canopy system operating at 5.7 GHz. System shall not be impacted by wireless devices in homes/businesses. 2.4- 2.4835 GHz is unlicensed and 2.4835 GHz-2.9 is licensed. Are you planning on using the licensed or unlicensed channels.

Response: We have no preference.

9. On page 13 you say that you require Aes 256 but in the information matrix you say that you require 128. Will AES 128 encryption work?

Response: The RFP is incorrect where AES 128 is specified. The LPR system should have a minimum of 256 AES.

10. Question: Will you be requiring live video to be viewed and recorded or are you just looking for a stillframe image of the car that was captured and a readout of the plate. If you do want recording of the video are you requiring 10 years of storage? This will require many servers to accomplish and is impractical.

Response: We will not be recording video, only still pictures of the car and plate. Still pictures do not require as much space as video.

11. Question: On Page 10. The 2 easternmost LPR cameras appear to be on the property of the high school. Who owns that pole and are we allowed to mount to it?

Response: The suggested pole locations and heights are just approximations. The City will rely on the expertise of the vendor for the exact location of the cameras. The pictures on pages 8, 9, and 10 are meant to show the direction in which the camera should be facing, to capture cars coming and going.

12. Question: On Page 12. The recommended location is at or near the highest point in each Park. The City's network antennas are at the highest point in each Park, and any new antennas cannot interfere with the existing network infrastructure. I have been to all 3 parks and looked on ALL poles and did not see any existing infrastructure. Since that is the recommended location to place the backhaul radio could you give more specifics on where it could be found or could we choose what we find to be the best location for the backhaul radios.

Response: The Dog Park/Golf course has a Canopy Wireless antennae near the Golf Course cashier building. The Mitchell Moore Park has the same antennae type on top of the main Mitchell Moore Civic Center/Building. There are currently no infrastructure antennas at Community Park. The City will rely on the expertise of the vendor for the exact location of the antennas.

13. Question: On page 13. System shall automatically switch across bands whenever it encounters interference. Do you mean switch channels? There are no products available that will automatically switch across bands.

Response: Yes, you are correct (switch channels, not switch bands.)

- C. RFP correction on page 7, section 1, 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point states that "There is no pole available for the LPR exiting the park." This is incorrect. It should read "there is no pole available for the LPR entering the park."
- D. Addendum #2 issued on July 11 said "Addendum #1" at the top. This was a typographical error.

Proposers should acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the area provided on the RFP Proposal Signature page.

Very truly yours,

Leeta Hardin  
General Services Director

cc: website  
file